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ABSTRACT.—A new species of Eleutherodactylus is described from the upper elevations of the Sierra Maestra in eastern Cuba. It is a common and widespread species that calls from arboreal sites and is very similar, morphologically, to the common lowland species E. auriculatus. However, the two species occur sympatriqually at intermediate elevations in the Sierra Maestra and have distinctive calls.

During an expedition to Pico Turquino in the Sierra Maestra of eastern Cuba in August, 1989, we encountered two common species of frogs on vegetation along trails and in the forests of the upper elevations. One was found almost exclusively in bromeliads, and the other occurred low on bushes and other vegetation. The calls of both upland forms were similar to their lowland counterparts, Eleutherodactylus ionthus and E. auriculatus, respectively, but differed in significant ways. However, because such differences might be attributed to elevation (and temperature), we made a nocturnal descent of Pico Turquino in order to hear and record calls emitted at different elevations. As we decreased in elevation, we found that the calls of the upland forms did not change significantly. Moreover, we discovered a zone of sympatry between the upland forms and their lowland counterparts in the vicinity of 800–1100 m elevation. Initially, our recordings and collection data were more extensive for the bromeliad-dwelling species, which we described as E. melacara (Hedges et al., 1992). Since then, we have made additional collections of the other upland form elsewhere in the Sierra Maestra, and describe that new species here.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The following abbreviations are used: SVL, snout–vent length; HL, head length; HW, head width; TYM, tympanum width; EL, eye length; EN, eye–naris distance; IOD, interorbital dis-
Fig. 1. *Eleutherodactylus glamyris* from Pico Real del Turquino, Municipio Guamá, Santiago de Cuba Province, 1974 m.

Measurements were taken with calipers (0.01 mm accuracy) and an ocular micrometer. Calls were recorded with a Sony Walkman Professional cassette recorder and WM-D3 with electrocondenser stereo microphone PC-62. The signal analyses were made with the use of Canary software (Cornell University). Terminology for call parameters follows Duellman and Trueb (1986).

*Eleutherodactylus glamyris*, new species

**Holotype.**—MNHNCU 660, an adult male from Pino del Agua Arriba, Sierra Maestra, Municipio Guisa, Granma Province, Cuba, 1200 m, collected by Alberto R. Estrada on 29 April 1993 (original number CARE 858).

**Paratypes.**—CARE 859–62, 878–82, paratypes, same collecting data as holotype; CARE 866–67, Estribo N, Pico La Bayamesa, Sierra Maestra, Municipio Guisa, Granma Province, 1600 m; USNM 509042, Pico Botella, Municipio Buey Arriba, Granma Province, 1375 m; USNM 509044, El Joaquin, Municipio Bartolomé Masó, Granma Province, 1300–1400 m; USNM 509043, 1.4 km N Minas del Frió, Municipio Bartolomé Masó, Granma Province, 845 m; MNHN CU 112, 118, 120, 126, 131, 133, 139, 157, 159–61, USNM 509049–51, Pico Real del Turquino, Municipio Guamá, Santiago de Cuba Province, 1974 m; USNM 509052, Estribo Turquino, Municipio Guamá, Santiago de Cuba Province, 1770 m; MNHN CU 616, USNM 509045–48, Pico Cuba, Municipio Guamá, Santiago de Cuba Prov., 1720 m; MNHN CU 124, 162, Loma Redonda (near Pico Cuba), Municipio Guamá, Santiago de Cuba Province, 1700 m; MNHN CU 134, 656–8, near La Emajagua, Municipio Guamá, Province, 850 m.

**Diagnosis.**—*Eleutherodactylus glamyris* is a small member of subgenus *Eleutherodactylus* on Cuba (16.6–20.0 mm SVL males; 18.8–24.1 SVL females) having a short and rounded left lobe of the liver, short vomerine odontophores, areolate venter, external submandibular vocal sac, and enlarged digital pads. It is most closely related to *E. auriculatus*, with which it shares a small body size, general body shape, and a single note call that is repeated continuously. It differs from that species in having a yellow vocal sac (in males) rather than brown and a call with a lower mean dominant frequency (3.21–3.30 kHz in *E. glamyris* versus 3.78–3.86 kHz in *E.*
auriculatus), longer note duration (79.4-94.8 ms in E. glamyris versus 73.8-9.9 ms in E. auriculatus), and slower call rate (67.6-78.6 calls/min in E. glamyris versus 229-276 calls/min in E. auriculatus). Additionally (although not completely diagnostic), E. glamyris typically is mottled in yellows and greens (dorsally), and has a white venter, whereas E. auriculatus tends to be more uniformly brown and tan, with brown ventral stippling.

Description.—Head as wide as body, width greater than length; snout acuminate in dorsal view, subacuminate in lateral view, overhanging lower jaw; nostrils strongly protuberant, directed laterally; canthus rostralis moderately sharp, slightly concave in dorsal view; loreal region concave, sloping abruptly; lips not flared; upper eyelid bearing several rounded tubercles; interorbital space without tubercles; supratympanic fold well defined, concealed upper edge of tympanic annulus; tympanum small, rounded, separated from eye by a distance more than its own diameter; two or three small, subconical, postcricoid tubercles; choanae moderate-sized, oval, partially concealed by palatal shelf of maxillary arch when roof of mouth is viewed from below; vomerine odontophores posterior to choanae, each about the same size as a choana, separated widely at midline; tongue longer than wide, posterior edge with notch, posterior three-fourths not adherent to floor of mouth; males with vocal slits; vocal sac median subgular.

Skin of dorsum moderately tuberculate, with dorsolateral folds; skin of flanks similar to dorsum; skin of venter moderately areolate, with discoidal folds; anal opening not extended in sheath; no glandular areas present, although moderate-sized postcapular tubercles present, followed by a dorsolateral fold; ulnar tubercles low, flat; palmar tubercle single, larger than thenar, thenar tubercle oval, low; several small supernumerary palmar tubercles; subarticular tubercles of fingers round and flat; no lateral ridge on finger; all fingers with expanded tips; fingertip rounded, semicircular pad on ventral surface of fingertip; circumferential groove bordering distal two-thirds of finger pad; width of largest pad (III) same size as tympanum; first finger shorter than second when adpressed; heel tubercles small and flat; no tubercles along outer edge of tarsus; metatarsal tubercles low, inner (oval) twice size of outer (subconical); several small, low, supernumerary plantar tubercles; subarticular tubercles of toes oval and flat; toes unwebbed; weak lateral ridge on toe; fifth toe longer than third when held parallel to fourth toe; all toes with expanded tips; toe tips pointed; semicircular pad on ventral surface of toe; circumferential groove bordering distal two-thirds of toe pad; heels overlap when flexed legs are held at right angles to sagittal plane; liver shape “auriculatus-type” (Hedges, 1989b).

In life, dorsal ground color brown-coppery delimited by two dorsolateral discontinuous black lines that follow dorsolateral folds; a faint brown interocular bar or triangle; head color light brown; eyelids greenish-gray; white mid-dorsal hair line extending from snout to vent, where it forks and continues onto each thigh along the ventral face of shank; brown X (diffuse or absent in some animals) behind interocular bar; loreals brown-coppery with a black stripe from snout to supratympanic fold (interrupted on eyes); upper lip greenish-brown with black spots, lower yellowish-brown with black spots; tubercles below tympanum golden yellow; lateral ground color yellowish with brown and black markings; vent black-bordered; thighs dark brown, with three light cross bars; shank brown with two cross bars; forearms brown with two yellow cross bars; arms yellow; vocal sac yellow, with scattered brown spots in some animals; venter whitish-translucent.

Measurements.—The mean (±1 SE) and range (in parentheses) of 21 adult males and six adult females are (in mm): SVL 187.7 ± 0.22 males, (166.0-20.0), 21.7 ± 0.78 females (18.8-24.1); HL 6.7 ± 0.06 males (6.2-7.4); 8.2 ± 0.41 females, (6.4-9.3); HW 7.7 ± 0.06 males, (7.3-8.1), 9.1 ± 0.35 females (7.7-10.4); TYM 1.01 ± 0.03 males (0.7-1.4), 1.3 ± 0.11 females (0.9-1.6); TYM-EYE 1.02 ± 0.02 [11] males, (0.8-1.1), 0.8 [1] female; EYES 2.9 ± 0.07 males (2.3-3.7), 3.6 ± 0.19 females (2.9-3.1); E-N 2.1 ± 0.03 males (2.2-2.5), 2.5 ± 0.06 females (2.3-2.8); IO 2.7 ± 0.05 males (2.2-3.1), 3.1 ± 0.17 females (2.7-3.9); THL 9.01 ± 0.14 males (7.7-10.4), 10.4 ± 0.34 females (9.5-11.4); SHL 9.6 ± 0.14 males (8.1-11.2), 11.3 ± 0.17 females (10.7-11.8); FTW 0.8 ± 0.02 [15] males (0.5-1.0), 0.9 ± 0.05 [5] females (0.7-1.0); TTT 0.99 ± 0.02 [12] males (0.7-1.1), 0.96 ± 0.05 [5] females (0.8-1.1); live mass 0.47 ± 0.01 (0.40-0.55) g males (N = 13), and 0.88 ± 0.05 (0.78-1.12) g females (N = 6).

Etymology.—From the Greek glamyros, meaning bleary-eyed or watery-eyed; in allusion to the misty, cloud forest habitat of this species.

Natural History.—During the night, *Eleutherodactylus glamyris* typically calls from horizontal surfaces of leaves and ferns about 0.5-2 m above the ground, although during the day it calls from more concealed sites. In contrast, *E. auriculatus* did not show that preference for horizontal surfaces, but rather often was found calling from twigs of bushes or stems of ferns low to the ground. The female from Estribo N of Pico La Bayamesa (CARE 866) was collected during the day on leaf litter. Another female (MNHCU 131), on the main trail to Pico Real del Turquino, was collected in a hole in the
ground (beneath a stone) along with a clutch of 6 eggs. A pair was found in amplexus in leaf litter during the day (9 August) on Estribo Turquino, and the female later laid 11 eggs in a bag.

Vocalization.—Both species have a call that consists, usually of a single note repeated continuously in long series. However, the call of *E. glamyrus* consists of a “ting” note that is longer in duration and lower in frequency than the “tick” of *E. auriculatus* (Fig. 2). The call has a lower mean dominant frequency (3.25 ± 0.01 [3.21–3.30] kHz in *E. glamyrus* versus 3.83 ± 0.01 [3.78–3.86] kHz in *E. auriculatus*), longer call duration (84.6 ± 2.2 [79.4–94.8] ms in *E. glamyrus* versus 81. ± 0.21 [7.3–8.9] ms in *E. auriculatus*), and slower call rate (72.0 ± 1.78 [67.6–78.6] calls/min in *E. glamyrus* versus 258 ± 6.3 [229–276] calls/min in *E. auriculatus*).

Distribution.—*Eleutherodactylus glamyrus* is widely distributed throughout the Cordillera del Turquino, Sierra Maestra, at elevations above about 800 m (see Fig. 3 for localities). On the SW slope of Pico Turquino we found *E. glamyrus* from the top (Pico Real, 1974 m) down to a point above La Emajagua (850 m). Sympathy between *E. glamyrus* and *E. auriculatus* was noted (by vocalization) at about 850–1100 m. We also found sympatric populations from W to E in different localities along the northern slope of the Sierra Maestra: Minas del Frío (845 m), El Naranjo (850), Pico La Botella (1375 m), and Pino del Agua Arriba (1200 m).

**DISCUSSION**

The validity of *Eleutherodactylus glamyrus* as a species distinct from *E. auriculatus* is indicated by occurrence of the two in sympathy at intermediate elevations in the Sierra Maestra. However, their distinction at those localities is based primarily on vocalization, call site, and color of the vocal sac, traits of living males (although vocal sac color is evidently also in some preserved specimens). For females and juveniles, separation of these two species will be difficult, although some of the coloration differences noted above (see Diagnosis) may help. Older museum specimens from intermediate elevations (e.g., MCZ 21985–989; USNM 137914) cannot be allocated, with confidence, to species.

The altitudinal relationship and morphologi-
cal similarity between E. glamyrus and E. auriculatus parallels that of two Hispaniolan species (E. audanti and E. abbotti) and two Puerto Rican species (E. portoricensis and E. coqui), also in the subgenus Eleutherodactylus (Hedges, 1989b). In both of those cases, the ranges of the upland species (E. audanti and E. portoricensis) overlap with those of the corresponding lowland species, morphological differences are slight, and the cell differences provide about the best means of distinguishing the species in the zone of overlap (Schwartz, 1966; Thomas, 1966). As with the Cuban pair, older museum specimens of the Hispaniolan and Puerto Rican species can be difficult to correctly identify. Although the subgenus Eleutherodactylus does not occur (naturally) on Jamaica, there is a pair of sibling species of the subgenus Euhyas (E. gossei and E. jumari) that also is difficult to distinguish morphologically (Schwartz and Fowler, 1973). In that case, differences in vocalization provide about the only means of identifying specimens (with confidence) in the zone of sympathy aside from karyotyping (Bogart and Hedges, 1995) or obtaining molecular data (Hedges, 1989a).

The above examples illustrate that it is not uncommon to have a valid, reproductively isolated, species of Eleutherodactylus with few or no known diagnostic morphological characters distinguishing it from its closest relative. At the same time, the fact that morphologically similar species always have call differences, but not the reverse (morphologically different co-occurring species with identical calls) reinforces the close association between vocalization and speciation observed in these anurans. Although it is not yet known whether the call differences represent a genetic byproduct of other changes at speciation, or whether they are the primary character under selection, closer study of such sibling species may help provide insight into the process of speciation.
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APPENDIX 1

Specimens Examined